# Testing Packet Time and Frequency



A Leading Provider of Smart, Connected and Secure Embedded Control Solutions



Lee Cosart
January 2025

### Introduction

#### Frequency Transport

- One-way: forward and reverse packet streams can be used separately
- Asymmetry is irrelevant
- Stable frequency needed
- PRC (primary reference clock) needed
- GNSS/GPS antenna cable compensation/calibration not needed
- GSM frequency backhaul (50 ppb) is example technology


#### Time Transport


- Two-way: forward and reverse packet streams used together
- Asymmetry is critical
- Stable time and frequency needed
- PRTC (primary reference time clock) or ePRTC (enhanced PRTC) needed
- GNSS/GPS antenna cable compensation/calibration needed
- LTE-TDD time/phase (1.5 μsec) is an example technology



# Testing Frequency "Physical" vs. "Packet"

• "TIE" (Single Point Measurement) Measurements are made at a single point – a single piece of equipment in a single location – a phase detector with reference – is needed





• "PDV" (Dual Point Measurement) Measurements are constructed from packets time-stamped at two points - in general two pieces of equipment, each with a reference, at two different locations - are needed

T	imestamp	Α


1233166477.011771820

#### 1233166476.991204496 1233166476.991389744 1233166476.980521740 1233166476.980352932 1233166477,006829496 1233166476.996147084 1233166477,022454496

1233166477.007014512 1233166476.995977932

Timestamp B


1233166477,022639568 1233166477.011602932






# Testing Time "Physical" vs. "Packet"

• "1 PPS" (Single Point Measurement) Measurements are made at a single point – a single piece of equipment in a single location – a phase detector with reference – is needed






• "Packet" (Dual Point Measurement) Measurements are constructed from packets time-stamped at two points – in general two pieces of equipment, each with a reference, at two different locations – are needed

#### Timestamp A

#### Timestamp B


F	1286231440.883338640	1286231440.883338796
R	1286231441.506929352	1286231441.506929500
F	1286231441.883338640	1286231441.883338796
R	1286231442.506929352	1286231442.506929500
F	1286231442.883338640	1286231442.883338796
R	1286231443.506929352	1286231443.506929516





### **Grandmaster Test PPS and Packet Probe**

Physical 1 PPS signal measurement and packet signal tested with probe match





# "TIE" Analysis vs. "PDV" Analysis

### "TIE" Analysis (G.810)

- Phase (TIE)
- Frequency accuracy
- Dynamic frequency
- MTIE
- TDEV

### "PDV" Analysis (G.8260)

- Phase (PDV)
- Histogram/PDF\*, CDF\*\*, statistics
- Dynamic statistics
   \* PDF = probability density function
- MATIE/MAFE
- TDEV/minTDEV/bandTDEV
- ► The importance of raw TIE/PDV:
  - Basis for frequency/statistical/MTIE/TDEV analysis
  - Timeline (degraded performance during times of high traffic?)
  - Measurement verification (jumps? offsets?)



\*\* CDF = cumulative distribution function

# **Stability Metrics**

#### Traditional Clock Metrics


- ADEV, TDEV, MTIE
- Traditionally applied to oscillators, synchronization interfaces GM, BC
- Also applied to lab packet equipment measurements

#### Frequency Transport Packet Metrics

- minTDEV, MAFE, MATIE
- Applied to one-way packet delay data
- FPP/FPR/FPC (floor packet percentage/rate/count)

#### Time Transport Packet Metrics

- pktselected2wayTE
- Applied to two-way packet delay data
- Assesses link asymmetry





### **Stability Metrics for PDV**

#### Packet Selection Processes

- 1) Pre-processed: packet selection step prior to calculation. Example: TDEV (PDVmin) where PDVmin is a new sequence based on minimum searches on the original PDV sequence
- 2) Integrated: packet selection integrated into calculation. Example: minTDEV (PDV)

#### Packet Selection Methods

Minimum: 
$$x_{\min}(i) = \min \left[ x_j \right] for(i \le j \le i + n - 1)$$

• Percentile: 
$$x'_{pct\_mean}(i) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=0}^{b} x'_{j+i}$$

Band: 
$$x'_{band\_mean}(i) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=a}^{b} x'_{j+i}$$


• Cluster: 
$$x(n\tau_0) = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{(K-1)} w((nK+i)\tau_p) \cdot \phi(n,i)}{\sum_{i=0}^{(K-1)} \phi(n,i)} \qquad \phi(n,i) = \begin{cases} 1 & for & |w(nK+i) - \alpha(n)| < \delta \\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases}$$



### **Packet Selection Windows**

#### Windows

- Non-overlapping windows (next window starts at prior window stop)
- *Skip-overlapping windows* (windows overlap but starting points skip over N samples)
- Overlapping windows (windows slide sample by sample)




#### Packet Selection Approaches

- Select X% fastest packets (e.g. 2%)
- Select N fastest packets (e.g. 10 fastest packets in a window)
- Select all packets faster than Y (e.g. all packets faster than 150 μs)



### **G.8260 Appendix I Metrics**




FPC, FPR, FPP: Floor Packet Count/Rate/Percent

PDV metrics studying minimum floor delay packet population



# **Packet Delay Distribution**




50 pct: 37.65 us; 90 pct: 245.5 us; 95 pct: 261.9 us; 99 pct: 272.3 us; 99.9 pct: 274.5 us


50%




**CDF** 

### Time Accuracy and Stability Requirements







A: Time Error: <=100 ns

C: Time Error: <=1.1 μs



### **Time Transport: Two-Way Metrics**

### Packet Time Transport Metrics

MeanPathDelay:

$$r(n) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot \left[R(n) + F(n)\right]$$

TwowayTimeError:

$$\eta_2(n) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot \left[R(n) - F(n)\right]$$

pktSelectedMeanPathDelay:

$$r'(n') = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot \left[R'(n') + F'(n')\right]$$

pktSelectedTwowayTimeError:

$$\eta_2'(n') = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot \left[R'(n') - F'(n')\right]$$

$$\eta_2^m(n) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot \left[R^m(n) - F^m(n)\right]$$

$$\eta_2^p(n) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot \left[R^p(n) - F^p(n)\right]$$

min2wayTE 
$$\eta_{2}^{m}(n) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot \left[R^{m}(n) - F^{m}(n)\right]$$

$$pct2wayTE \qquad \eta_{2}^{p}(n) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot \left[R^{p}(n) - F^{p}(n)\right]$$

$$cluster2wayTE \qquad \eta_{2}^{c}(n) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot \left[R^{c}(n) - F^{c}(n)\right]$$

Ideal 2way TE: zero

Ideal F/R: floor

("lucky" packets: fastest)

(no asymmetry)

psTDISP (min/pct/clst time dispersion): ps2wayTE{y} plotted against psMeanPathDelay{x} as a scatter plot

ps2wayTE statistics: ps2wayTE statistic such as mean, standard deviation, median, 95 percentile plotted as a function of time window tau; min/maxATE


Weighted Average:

$$w(n) = [a \cdot F(n) + (1-a) \cdot R(n)]$$

where  $0 \le a \le 1$ 




# **Time Transport: Two-Way Packet Delay**






### **Time Transport: Two-Way Metrics**

### 2wayTE



# Both 2wayTE and pktSelected2wayTE plots with minimum set to 0. Mean value from unadjusted data.

### pktSelected2wayTE




Selection window = 200s Selection percentage = 0.25% Peak-to-peak pktSelected2wayTE = 663 ns (G.8271.2 APTS limit: <1100 ns)



# Two-Way Time Error Network Asymmetry

### Asymmetry in Wireless Backhaul

(Ethernet wireless backhaul asymmetry and IEEE 1588 client 1PPS under these asymmetrical network conditions)






# **Network Asymmetry**

### 150 km fiber PTP over OTN transport

(2wayTE is 19.1 µsec which represents the 38.2 µsec difference between forward and reverse one-way latencies)





### **Summary**

- PDV frequency measurements only require a stable reference
- PDV time measurements require common time scale reference at both ends of the network being studied (GNSS at both ends is a way to do this)
- For frequency transport, asymmetry doesn't matter, and one, the other, or both packet flows can be used
- Asymmetry is everywhere, asymmetry is invisible to the IEEE 1588 protocol, thus asymmetry has a direct bearing on the ability to transport time precisely
- The "two-way time error" calculation is a direct measure of asymmetry
- There are two ways to assess time transport: (1) measuring a 1 PPS reference at the node being studied and (2) measuring a packet signal at the node being studied
- Packet metrics for time transport must use both forward and reverse streams together rather than separately as is the case for frequency transport
- Packet metrics for time transport can make use of much of the methodology used for packet frequency transport metrics



# Thank you

#### **Lee Cosart**

Research Engineer

lee.cosart@microchip.com

Phone: +1-408-428-7833

